

Social Transitioning

The Risks & Harms

Evidence Brief for Schools. Balancing compassion, evidence and safeguarding in schools.



Guidance for Schools Following the Cass Review,
Re: Devin, and Emerging Global Policy Reforms

Social Transitioning

The Risks & Harms

Guidance for Schools After the Cass Review, Re: Devin, and Global Policy Reforms

About This Booklet

This resource has been prepared by Active Watchful Waiting Inc. (AWW) for school principals, teachers, boards of trustees, and education administrators across New Zealand. It provides evidence-based guidance on the risks and harms associated with social transitioning of children and young people in school settings.

Each section is structured around a key question that schools commonly face, presenting the latest clinical, legal, and research evidence, followed by practical implications and key takeaways.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: This booklet was strengthened by the critical review and New Zealand-specific insights provided by **Resist Gender Education**. Their expertise in New Zealand educational policy and practice has been invaluable.

GUIDING PRINCIPLE

Parallel Dignity

Every human being possesses equal and inherent worth — and rights must never come at the expense of others' safety, privacy, or truth.

aww.org.au/SchoolResources

© Active Watchful Waiting Inc. | Version 3 | April 2026



Contents

Introduction	A Turning Point for Australian Schools
Guiding Principle	Parallel Dignity
Duty of Care	Legal Responsibility and Safeguarding
Neutral Act?	Is Social Transitioning a Neutral Act?
Medical Pathway?	Is Social Transition a Pathway to Medical Transition?
Who Decides?	The Limits of the 'Mature Minor' Concept
Medical Risks	Surgical and Psychological Risks
Benefits vs Risks	Do the Benefits Outweigh the Risks of Harm?
Identity Development	Disruption of Natural Maturation
Vulnerable Youth	Autistic, Same-Sex Attracted and Traumatized Youth
Social Contagion	Gender Ideation in Girls
The Suicide Narrative	What the Evidence Actually Shows
Harms to LGB Youth	Impact of Gender-Affirming Policies
The Divide	Ideological vs Evidence-Based Practice
Parallel Dignity	In Practice
Legal Risk	Legal and Professional Risk for Schools
Policy	Recommendations and Future Directions

Introduction: A Turning Point for Australian Schools

1. Timeline — Before, Turning Point, After

Before

For nearly a decade, New Zealand schools have followed what was presented as best practice. Teachers and principals were told that affirming a child's declared gender identity was the only safe and ethical response. Policies and training materials reassured them: affirmation was safeguarding, hesitation was harm. Parents and educators trusted that guidance — trusting that experts, courts, and health bodies had already done the due diligence.

Turning Point

Then came the Cass Review in the UK, followed by systematic evidence reviews across multiple countries. Four major developments have now brought this shift to our doorstep:

- New Zealand's own Ministry of Health evidence brief (November 2024) found "a lack of high-quality evidence." The government's subsequent decision to halt puberty blockers for the treatment of gender dysphoria in new patients is subject to a Judicial Review in early May 2026.
- The United States Department of Health and Human Services (November 2025) released a comprehensive federal review concluding there is "very weak evidence of benefit" alongside "significant risks including irreversible harms."
- Australia's Federal Family Court in *Re: Devin* found that a leading gender clinic provided parents with "rose-tinted" information, failed to assess underlying factors such as autism and trauma, and operated as a "single medical pathway."
- [April 2026, Finland reported on the outcome of every gender-referred adolescent in the country](#) from 1996 to 2019. The cohort of 2,083 individuals was compared against 16,643 matched population controls. Among those who underwent medical "gender reassignment", the procedures increased distress instead of resolving it. With feminising procedures: psychiatric morbidity rose from 9.8% to 60.7% and masculinising procedures: from 21.6% to 54.5%.

"With today's knowledge, we would have acted differently."

— Senior judges and clinicians, Re: Devin, 2025

After

Now the evidence is on the table. What once seemed a settled consensus has become a matter of duty and discernment. New Zealand schools stand at a crossroads: whether to remain tied to outdated policy or to align with international best practice that protects children first.

2. Tensions and Temptations

This is an anxious moment for schools. Leaders are uncertain which policy to follow. Teachers worry about complaints, reputational harm, or being accused of discrimination. Many feel torn between compassion for a distressed student and concern for safety, privacy, and long-term wellbeing.

The temptation is to keep doing what has been done — to stay quiet, follow old guidance, and wait for someone else to act. Yet educators know that genuine safeguarding sometimes means asking hard questions and updating practice when new evidence emerges.

3. The Call to Courageous Leadership

The lesson from recent global reviews is clear: social transition is not neutral, and affirmation-only approaches are not required. Schools have an opportunity to lead with courage and compassion — acknowledging complexity, involving parents, and supporting students in a way that does not predetermine medical outcomes.

"When we know better, we do better."

— Maya Angelou

How to Use This Booklet

Each section addresses a key question schools face — supported by clear facts, current law, and international best practice. Every section follows the same structure:

- The Facts — what is known from the latest reviews and cases
- Evidence at a Glance — a quick reference for busy professionals
- Why It Matters — implications for duty of care, parental involvement, and school policy
- Key Takeaway — a concise summary for decision-making

Guiding Principle: Parallel Dignity

Parallel Dignity is the principle that every human being possesses equal and inherent worth — not because of identity, belief, or circumstance, but by virtue of being human. It affirms that rights and recognition must never come at the expense of others' safety, privacy, or truth.

Care for one group must not diminish the dignity of another.

WHY THIS MATTERS

Over the past decade, many school policies have been framed through celebrating identities which, while well-intentioned, have often prioritised identity categories rather than individual needs.

- When diversity is defined as agreement with a single belief system, true diversity disappears.
- When equity is pursued through identity rather than evidence, trust erodes.
- When inclusion overrides privacy and parental partnership, children lose protection.

Parallel dignity restores balance. It recognises that compassion and caution are not opposites, and that evidence-based policy is itself an act of care.

WHAT PARALLEL DIGNITY LOOKS LIKE IN SCHOOLS

Traditional DEI Framing	Parallel Dignity Framing
"Affirm the identity a student declares."	"Support the child's wellbeing while safeguarding truth, privacy, and parental partnership."
"Inclusion means shared facilities."	"Inclusion means every child has a safe, private space that does not compromise others."
"Belonging requires belief in gender ideology."	"Belonging requires respect — not belief — in one another's dignity."
"Equity through group identity."	"Equity through individual need and evidence."

THE ETHICAL FOUNDATION FOR POLICY

Parallel dignity gives schools a rational moral framework to navigate conflict between rights, beliefs, and safety. It ensures that:

- Every child's dignity is upheld in parallel, not ranked by ideology.
- Parents remain partners, not bystanders.
- Truth and kindness coexist in policy and practice.
- Safeguarding replaces signalling as the measure of inclusion.

By grounding decisions in this principle, educators can act with both compassion and clarity — supporting every student without compromising anyone's rights.

Duty of Care and Legal Responsibility

QUESTION: *What is a school's duty of care in matters of gender distress or identity change?*

THE FACTS

Schools have a legal and ethical duty to protect students from reasonably foreseeable harm — physical, psychological, or reputational. This duty extends to any action or omission that could cause harm, including how a school responds to a child expressing distress about their sex or gender.

Historically, many schools interpreted 'safeguarding' to mean affirming a child's declared identity without question. However, recent legal and clinical findings now show that this approach can expose schools to harm and liability when undertaken without parental consent, professional assessment, or adequate understanding of risk.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Re: Devin \(Family Court of Australia, 2025\)](#) — Justice Strum held that affirmation-only approaches are not evidence-based and that social transition can be the first step on a medical pathway with irreversible outcomes.
- [Cass Review \(UK, 2024\)](#) — Concluded that social transition is not neutral and recommended comprehensive psychological assessment before any name, pronoun, or presentation changes.
- [International Standards Shift \(2022–2025\)](#) — Sweden, Finland, Norway, USA and the UK now restrict or prohibit youth medical transition except in exceptional cases.
- [Legal Principle](#) — Teachers and principals act in loco parentis. They must exercise the same degree of care a reasonable parent would.

WHY IT MATTERS

1. **Affirmation Is Not Safeguarding** — Acknowledge distress, provide support, and refer for independent assessment — without assuming a new identity must be affirmed.
2. **Parental Involvement Is Not Optional** — Withholding information about a student's social transition from parents can expose a school to legal action.
3. **Teachers Are Not Clinicians** — Determining whether a child is 'mature' enough to make identity decisions is beyond educational expertise.
4. **Policy Alignment Protects Staff** — Following outdated training does not absolve liability if that policy is later shown to cause harm.

KEY TAKEAWAY

A school's legal and moral duty is to protect every child from foreseeable harm — including the harm that may arise from premature social or medical transition. Safeguarding requires time, parental partnership, and evidence-based care — not automatic affirmation.

Is Social Transitioning a Neutral Act?

QUESTION: *Is socially transitioning a child (changing their name, pronouns, or uniform) a neutral act that simply supports wellbeing?*

THE FACTS

Social transitioning is often described as 'non-medical' and therefore 'harmless.' However, new evidence and court findings show that social transition is not neutral — it is a psychosocial intervention that can have lasting developmental, emotional, and legal consequences.

Changing a child's name, pronouns, and presentation alters how that child is perceived and treated by peers, family, and teachers. It can change the child's self-concept, reinforcing a fixed identity before that identity has naturally stabilised. In many cases, social transition becomes the first step in a medical pathway.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Cass Review \(UK, 2024\)](#) — Found that social transition 'should not be viewed as a neutral act,' noting it 'may have significant effects on a child's psychological functioning and future decision-making.'
- [Re: Devin \(Australia, 2025\)](#) — Justice Strum ruled that social transition can initiate a trajectory toward irreversible treatment — even without medication.
- [Social Transitioning correlates with transgender identity persistence](#) — Changing names, pronouns, clothing and bathroom use – correlates with the persistence of transgender identity
- [Cognitive Development Research](#) — Identity consolidation continues well into adolescence. Introducing a fixed identity label during emotional distress can interrupt natural developmental processes.

WHY IT MATTERS

5. **Social Transition Creates Psychological Commitment** — Once a school community recognises a new name and pronouns, the child faces enormous pressure to maintain that identity — even if feelings change.
6. **It Alters Relationships and Learning Environments** — Teachers, peers, and parents begin responding to a new identity rather than a developing person, leading to confusion, secrecy, and divided loyalties.
7. **It Can Lead to Medicalisation** — Many clinicians describe social transition as 'the gateway to medical transition.' What begins as a reversible gesture often sets expectations that the body must later be changed.
8. **It Raises Legal and Ethical Risk** — Schools implementing social transition without parental consent or independent assessment may be seen as making a medical decision without authority.

KEY TAKEAWAY

Social transition is not neutral. It changes how a child is seen by others and how they see themselves, often setting them on a medical trajectory. Compassionate support does not require social transition — it requires time, care, and collaboration with parents and professionals.

Is Social Transition a Pathway to Medical Transition?

QUESTION: Does socially transitioning a child increase the likelihood that they will later pursue medical treatment?

THE FACTS

Evidence now shows that social transition is not merely a 'supportive' act — it often functions as the first step on a medical pathway. Once a child begins living publicly as another gender, psychological and social pressures make reversal extremely difficult.

International reviews now describe this as a 'conveyor belt' effect — a chain of interventions where each step makes the next seem inevitable. Children who are affirmed socially are far more likely to progress to puberty blockers and cross-sex hormones than those supported through exploration and watchful waiting.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Cass Review \(UK, 2024\)](#) — 95–98% of children who began puberty blockers had already socially transitioned. No evidence was found that blockers pause puberty neutrally.
- [Re: Devin \(Australia, 2025\)](#) — Justice Strum explicitly rejected the notion of a 'neutral pause' and found that the gender clinic's one-directional approach created 'undue psychological commitment to transition.'
- [Sweden \(2022\)](#) — Restricted puberty blockers and hormones for minors, citing concern that social transition pre-disposes medicalisation.
- [Desistance Statistics](#) — Desistance rates before widespread social transition: 60–80%. After early social transition: estimated below 5%.

WHY IT MATTERS

- 9. Social Transition Sets Psychological Momentum** — Reverting becomes emotionally and socially costly, creating a powerful 'commitment bias' making further transition feel like the only path forward.
- 10. Medical Risks Follow the Pathway** — Puberty blockers can cause loss of bone density, fertility impairment, and cognitive effects. Cross-sex hormones carry further lifelong consequences.
- 11. Re: Devin Confirms Legal Accountability** — Social transition without informed parental consent or clinical evaluation may be considered an act with medical consequences, not a neutral gesture.

COMMON HARMS OF MEDICAL TRANSITIONING

As adults, we must understand the harms, risks and social consequences of a pathway that may include puberty blockers, binding, tucking, cross-sex hormones, and surgery:

Puberty Blockers

- Short term: headaches, hot flushes, weight gain, tiredness, low mood, anxiety, reduction in bone density, bone fractures, blurred vision.
- Loss of fertility and sexual function; young people given GnRHa at Tanner Stage 2 who proceed to cross-sex hormones may remain 'orgasmically naïve.'
- Effects on brain development: unknown. Concerns about negative impact on IQ, long-term spatial awareness, reaction time, and cognitive development.
- June 2022: the FDA received 60,400 reports of adverse reactions to common GnRH agonists, including over 7,900 deaths.

Chest Binding

- 97.2% of respondents reported at least one negative outcome. Most common: back pain (53.8%), overheating (53.3%), chest pain (48.8%), shortness of breath (46.6%).
- Additional symptoms: rib fractures, rib or spine changes, muscle wasting, numbness, respiratory infections, and skin infections.

Cross-Sex Hormones and Surgery

- Surgical removal of breasts denies girls full sexual pleasure in adulthood and the ability to breastfeed. In New Zealand, a 16+ year-old can theoretically receive 'top surgery' (mastectomy) privately with appropriate support and assessments. However, policy, funding criteria, and public clinical practice in New Zealand strongly favour 18+ for top surgery, with a limit to the number of procedures publicly financed per year.
- Irreversible body modifications: facial hair, male-pattern baldness, permanently deepened voice, enlarged clitoris in female patients.
- Sterilisation of LGB, autistic and traumatised young people whose underlying conditions were not assessed or treated with appropriate therapies.
- [Finland in April 2026](#) reported on every gender-referred adolescent in the country from 1996 to 2019. The cohort of 2,083 individuals was compared against 16,643 matched population controls and followed for up to 25 years. Among those who underwent medical gender reassignment, psychiatric morbidity increased markedly rather than decreased: following feminising procedures it rose from 9.8% to 60.7%, and following masculinising procedures from 21.6% to 54.5%. The authors noted that in some individuals, the medical procedures "appear to be linked to deterioration in mental health".

KEY TAKEAWAY

Social transition is a powerful psychosocial intervention that commonly leads to medical transition. Schools must treat it as a serious decision requiring parental involvement, independent assessment, and careful ethical consideration.

Who Decides? — The Limits of the 'Mature Minor' Concept

QUESTION: *Can a school or teacher decide that a student is a 'mature minor' and therefore able to socially transition without parental consent?*

THE FACTS

The concept of a 'mature minor' (also known as Gillick competence) comes from medical law, not education law. It allows a doctor to provide treatment to a minor without parental consent only when the child demonstrates sufficient understanding of the proposed medical intervention and its consequences.

Some schools and education departments interpreted this principle to mean a student could be socially transitioned without parental knowledge. However, courts in several jurisdictions have now made it clear: schools do not have the authority or expertise to make such determinations.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Re: Devin \(Australia, 2025\)](#) — Justice Strum confirmed that determining a child's competence to make decisions about identity or social transition lies within the court's and clinician's remit, not the school's.
- [Re: Imogen \(Australia, 2020\)](#) — When parents or professionals disagree about a child's capacity, the court must decide. Self-identification alone is insufficient.
- [Gillick v West Norfolk AHA \(UK, 1985\)](#) — Competence applies to specific medical decisions requiring understanding of both immediate and long-term risks — criteria most children cannot meet regarding gender identity.
- [Cass Review \(UK, 2024\)](#) — Children experiencing gender distress 'cannot give informed consent' to interventions whose long-term outcomes are uncertain or irreversible.
- US has had significant rulings against schools over-reach: [T.F. v. Kettle Moraine School District \(Wisconsin, 2023\)](#) explicit consent required, [Mead v. Rockford Public Schools concealment risk, \(Michigan, 2025\)](#) and [Mirabelli v. Bonta \(California, 2025–2026\)](#) addressing wrongful parental exclusion policies.

WHY IT MATTERS

- 12. Schools Are Not Legal Decision-Makers** — Teachers cannot determine Gillick competence or authorise identity changes that carry medical or legal implications.
- 13. Parental Exclusion Is a Legal Risk** — Withholding information from parents may constitute a breach of parental rights and expose schools to negligence claims.
- 14. Competence Is Context-Specific** — A child may be mature enough to express feelings but not to comprehend long-term fertility loss, cognitive effects, or irreversible physical changes.
- 15. Emotional Distress Can Impair Judgement** — Adolescents experiencing identity distress or trauma cannot be assumed to be competent to make decisions about irreversible interventions.

KEY TAKEAWAY

Schools cannot determine that a child is a 'mature minor' for the purpose of social transition. Gillick competence applies narrowly to clinical decisions assessed by qualified health professionals — not by teachers or administrators.

Medical, Surgical, and Psychological Risks

QUESTION: *What are the known risks of puberty blockers, cross-sex hormones, and surgical interventions in minors?*

THE FACTS

Medical interventions for gender-distressed youth were originally justified as reversible and life-saving. However, major systematic reviews and court findings now confirm that these treatments carry significant, and often irreversible, risks.

International health authorities — including in the UK, Sweden, Finland, Norway and several US states — have responded by restricting or halting medical transition for minors outside research settings.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Cass Review \(UK, 2024\)](#) — 'Lack of high-quality evidence' supporting medical transition. Puberty blockers are 'not a neutral pause' but may reinforce a cross-sex identity and increase medicalisation.
- [Re: Devin \(Australia, 2025\)](#) — Justice Strum accepted that puberty blockers and cross-sex hormones present 'unacceptable risk' with a 95–98% continuation rate to cross-sex hormones.
- [Sweden \(2022\)](#) — Restricted hormonal interventions to clinical research. Evidence of benefit was 'very low quality,' while risks were 'potentially serious and irreversible.'
- [Finland \(2020\)](#) — Stated hormonal and surgical interventions 'should be considered only in rare cases' with psychotherapy as first-line treatment.
- Long-Term Studies — [Blockers cause measurable bone density loss](#). [Cross-sex hormones](#) cause infertility and sexual dysfunction. [Surgical regret](#) is increasingly reported.

WHY IT MATTERS

- 16. Schools Are the First Link in the Chain** — Social transition often begins in schools, placing schools at the start of a pathway with lifelong consequences.
- 17. Lack of Informed Consent** — Minors cannot fully comprehend risks involving fertility, sexual function, and cognitive development. Many parents are not given complete information before consenting.
- 18. High Rates of Coexisting Conditions** — The Cass Review found the majority of children referred for gender care also experience autism, trauma, depression, or anxiety.
- 19. No Proven Reduction in Suicide Risk** — There is no credible evidence that puberty blockers or hormones reduce suicidality. In some studies, suicide rates remained higher than the general population after transition.

KEY TAKEAWAY

Medical transition in minors carries serious, often irreversible, risks, and lacks reliable evidence of long-term benefit. Schools play a critical role in prevention by ensuring early distress is met with time, psychological support, and parental partnership — not fast-tracked to medical intervention.

Do the Benefits of Youth Gender Transitions Outweigh the Risks?

QUESTION: *Is there solid evidence that medical or social gender transition improves long-term wellbeing in children and adolescents?*

THE FACTS

Proponents of 'gender-affirming care' have long claimed that transition improves mental health and prevents suicide. Since 2020, comprehensive international reviews have found the evidence for benefit to be weak, inconsistent, and of very low quality, while the risks are significant and often irreversible. Globally, health authorities are now revising or reversing policies that previously promoted youth transition.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Cass Review \(UK, 2024\)](#) — 'There is no reliable evidence' that puberty blockers or hormones improve mental health or reduce suicide in minors. Studies are 'small, uncontrolled, and subject to bias.'
- [Systematic Reviews \(Sweden, Finland, U.S. HHS, 2022–2024\)](#) — All rated the certainty of evidence for benefits as very low. No studies demonstrated durable improvement in quality of life after transition.
- [Re: Devin \(Australia, 2025\)](#) — 'Claimed benefits of affirmation have not been proven' and 'irreversible harms are foreseeable.'
- [Longitudinal Study \(Finland, April 2026\)](#) — Followed every adolescent referred for gender-related care between 1996 and 2019, with up to 25 years of follow-up. A cohort of 2,083 individuals was compared with 16,643 matched population controls. Among those who underwent medical gender reassignment, psychiatric morbidity increased markedly rather than decreased: from 9.8% to 60.7% following feminising procedures, and from 21.6% to 54.5% following masculinising procedures.

WHY IT MATTERS

- 20. Evidence Does Not Justify Risk** — Medical ethics require that potential benefits outweigh known harms. When evidence of benefit is weak and harms are serious, the ethical course is caution.
- 21. Schools Should Not Promise What Medicine Cannot Deliver** — There is no data proving that social or medical transition reduces suicide or long-term distress in minors. Recent data shows otherwise.
- 22. Psychological Support Shows Better Outcomes** — Studies of counselling, family therapy, and trauma-informed care consistently report improved wellbeing without medical risk.
- 23. International Precedent Is Changing** — Nations that once led the affirmation model — Sweden, Finland, Norway, USA and the UK — have reversed course after reviewing the same evidence base.
- 24. Longitudinal Research** — The [2018 Dutch study often cited as proof](#) excluded participants with mental-health complexities and is not generalisable. Substantial proportions of transitioned youth later re-identify.

KEY TAKEAWAY

The claimed benefits of youth gender transition are not only unproven, but recent evidence shows an increase in poor mental health, whilst the risks are significant and well-documented.

Disruption of Natural Maturation and Identity Development

QUESTION: *How does early social or medical transition affect a child's natural development and identity formation?*

THE FACTS

Adolescence is a time of rapid physical, neurological, and emotional growth. Children experiment with identity, roles, and belonging — and most eventually reach stability without intervention.

However, social or medical transition during this period can disrupt the natural maturation process, freezing a child's identity at a time when it should still be forming. Studies show that many young people who once identified as transgender later desist, especially when supported without medicalisation.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Cass Review \(UK, 2024\)](#) — Warned that social and medical interventions during puberty may 'disrupt normal developmental processes' and limit a young person's capacity to integrate identity and body.
- [Re: Devin \(Australia, 2025\)](#) — The Court noted that affirmation risks 'interrupting the developmental reconciliation of sex and identity that occurs naturally through adolescence.'
- [Desistance Studies \(UK, Netherlands, Canada\)](#) — 60–80% of gender-distressed children reconcile with their sex by adulthood. The majority later identify as gay or lesbian rather than transgender.
- [Neuroscience Findings](#) — The adolescent brain undergoes extensive reorganisation between ages 12–25. Pubertal hormones are integral to this process; suppressing them delays neurodevelopment.

WHY IT MATTERS

- 25. Puberty Is Not a Disease** — Treating puberty as an error to be paused undermines a process essential for physical and emotional maturity.
- 26. Fixed Labels Prevent Growth** — Labelling a developing child as 'trans' or 'non-binary' can create psychological rigidity and make it harder for them to explore other aspects of self.
- 27. Loss of Fertility and Sexual Function** — Blocking puberty before sexual maturity prevents normal reproductive and sexual development, leaving permanent physical consequences.

KEY TAKEAWAY

Puberty is a vital part of human development, not a condition to be bypassed. Early transition interrupts the natural maturation process, narrowing a young person's capacity to integrate mind, body, and identity. Schools protect children best by creating environments where growth, not premature certainty, is encouraged.

Autistic, Same-Sex Attracted, and Traumatized Youth

QUESTION: *Why are certain groups of young people more vulnerable to gender distress and harm from affirmation-only approaches?*

THE FACTS

Not all children who experience gender distress share the same background, but across studies and clinical reports, there are consistent patterns: a large proportion of young people presenting with gender distress also experience autism, same-sex attraction, anxiety, depression, or trauma.

For these students, gender distress may express an underlying struggle with social belonging, identity, or self-esteem — not necessarily a fixed cross-sex identity. When schools interpret these feelings only through a gender-affirming lens, they risk misidentifying the true source of distress.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Cass Review \(UK, 2024\)](#) — Around one-third of referrals to the Tavistock Gender Identity Service met criteria for autism spectrum disorder (ASD). High rates of same-sex attraction, anxiety, depression, and trauma history were found.
- [Re: Devin \(Australia, 2025\)](#) — The Court noted the child's presentation was 'better explained by psychosocial stress and developmental confusion than by gender dysphoria.'
- [Autism Research \(2022–2024\)](#) — ASD traits including black-and-white thinking and social isolation can make children more vulnerable to identity fixation or online influence.
- [Trauma and Abuse Studies](#) — Children with histories of sexual or emotional abuse sometimes dissociate from their bodies or reject sex-based characteristics as a defence mechanism. Affirmation can reinforce avoidance rather than healing.

WHY IT MATTERS

- 28. Misdiagnosis Causes Harm** — When schools treat gender distress as evidence of 'being trans,' they risk reinforcing a coping mechanism rather than addressing its cause.
- 29. Neurodiverse Students Need Stability** — Autistic children benefit from structured, predictable environments — not identity experimentation framed as moral or social obligation.
- 30. Protecting Same-Sex Attracted Youth** — Many LGB adults report they would have been fast-tracked into transition if they were growing up today. Support must not erase same-sex orientation.
- 31. Trauma Requires Therapeutic Support** — Affirmation of a new identity cannot replace trauma-informed care. Compassion means addressing the root pain, not reinforcing avoidance.

KEY TAKEAWAY

Many children expressing gender distress are autistic, same-sex attracted, or have experienced trauma. For these students, affirmation may compound harm by masking underlying issues. Schools best serve them by fostering belonging, offering psychological support, and maintaining stable, reality-based care.

Social Contagion and Gender Ideation in Girls

QUESTION: *Why has there been a sudden rise in teenage girls identifying as transgender or non-binary, and what role do peers and online culture play?*

THE FACTS

Over the past decade, there has been an unprecedented increase in adolescent girls identifying as transgender or non-binary. Historically, gender dysphoria affected mostly boys beginning in early childhood. Today, the majority of new cases are teenage girls with no prior history of gender distress.

This dramatic shift coincides with the rise of social media, online identity culture, and schools adopting gender-ideological language. Many experts now describe this as a form of social contagion — a psychological pattern where beliefs about identity spread through social networks, offering belonging, status, or relief from anxiety.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Cass Review \(UK, 2024\)](#) — Female referrals increased from 25% to over 75% in less than a decade. Many girls presented with late-onset gender distress during adolescence, often after peer exposure or online engagement.
- [Re: Devin \(Australia, 2025\)](#) — The judgement acknowledged that online influences and peer imitation can 'fuel a self-reinforcing belief that gender change offers escape from distress.'
- [Studies \(Littman 2018; Marchiano 2017\)](#) — Documented clusters of teenage girls identifying as trans within friend groups, often alongside anxiety, depression, and autism.
- [Social Media Analysis \(2022–2024\)](#) — Platforms host large communities promoting transition as empowerment. Content rarely discusses regret, infertility, or health risks.

WHY IT MATTERS

- 32. Identity Distress Can Spread Socially** — Adolescents are highly suggestible to group norms. When gender identity is framed as the ultimate explanation for discomfort, peer influence can shape self-diagnosis.
- 33. Girls Often Internalise Cultural Messages** — For some girls, rejecting 'womanhood' can feel like rejecting vulnerability or social pressure — not a sign of true trans identity.
- 34. School Policy Can Amplify the Trend** — When schools automatically affirm or promote diversity campaigns without context, they can unintentionally validate transient or peer-driven identities.

KEY TAKEAWAY

The rapid rise in trans-identified adolescent girls is not explained by biology but by social influence, online culture, and institutional reinforcement. Schools can respond wisely by fostering critical thinking, body acceptance, and diverse role models — ensuring that exploration remains safe, reversible, and grounded in truth.

The Suicide Narrative: What the Evidence Actually Shows

QUESTION: *Is it true that children will die by suicide if schools do not affirm their gender identity?*

THE FACTS

This claim — that failure to affirm leads to suicide — has become one of the most emotionally powerful arguments used to justify gender affirmation in schools. However, reviews of the evidence show that this is not supported by credible data.

While young people with gender distress do experience higher rates of suicidal thoughts than their peers, research shows that these risks are largely linked to underlying mental health issues, trauma, or social isolation — not to being 'non-affirmed.' Affirmation-only messaging can, in fact, be dangerous: it frames identity distress as life-or-death and discourages therapeutic help.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Cass Review \(UK, 2024\)](#) — Found 'no evidence that gender-affirming social or medical interventions reduce suicide.'
- [U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Review \(2025\)](#) — Concluded 'no causal relationship has been established between affirmation and reduced suicide risk.'
- [Population Data \(UK NHS and U.S. Studies\)](#) — The rate of suicide among gender-distressed youth is around 0.3% — similar to rates in other adolescent groups with mental health disorders. The narrative that '48% attempt suicide' originates from flawed, self-selected online surveys.
- [Re: Devin \(Australia, 2025\)](#) — Justice Strum explicitly warned against 'emotive and unverified claims that non-affirmation causes suicide.'

WHY IT MATTERS

- 35. Fear Is Not Safeguarding** — Emotional blackmail undermines ethical decision-making. Children should never feel their existence depends on whether adults agree with their self-perception.
- 36. Address the Real Risk Factors** — The main predictors of suicide among gender-distressed youth are depression, trauma, bullying, and family conflict — all issues schools can address through holistic wellbeing programs.
- 37. Affirmation-Only Messaging Can Harm** — When told 'affirmation or death,' a vulnerable child may feel trapped and hopeless if anyone questions their identity — reinforcing suicidal thinking rather than reducing it.
- 38. Schools Have a Role in Hope, Not Fear** — 'You are safe, loved, and not alone. We will work through this together.' That message prevents despair more effectively than ideological slogans.

KEY TAKEAWAY

The claim that children will die if not affirmed is not supported by evidence. Suicide prevention comes from treating underlying mental health conditions, building family and school connection, and restoring hope — not from ideological affirmation. For AWW's suicide resources: aww.org.au/suicide

Harms to LGB Youth

QUESTION: *How do gender-affirming policies and social transition practices affect same-sex attracted (LGB) young people?*

THE FACTS

Before the widespread promotion of 'gender identity' ideology, most gender-nonconforming children grew up to be gay or lesbian adults. Clinical data across decades showed that the majority of children diagnosed with gender dysphoria later identified as LGB — not transgender — once puberty resolved.

Today, the affirmation model often teaches that discomfort with sex, sexuality, or body development means a child is 'trans.' As a result, same-sex attracted youth are being diverted into medical pathways that erase their sexual orientation before it can develop naturally. This has been described by LGB advocates as a modern form of conversion therapy — not to make children heterosexual, but to make them appear straight by changing their bodies.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Cass Review \(UK, 2024\)](#) — 'A large proportion' of gender-distressed youth would likely grow up gay or lesbian if not affirmed. Early transition 'may prevent the natural resolution of gender distress associated with same-sex attraction.'
- [Re: Devin \(Australia, 2025\)](#) — The Court noted the child's presentation was consistent with 'gender nonconformity and emerging same-sex attraction,' not gender dysphoria.
- Dutch & Canadian Follow-up Studies — [Desistance rates of 60–80%](#), with most later identifying as LGB. Medical transition for children disrupts natural sexual identity formation.
- Community Reports (2022–2024) — [Thousands of detransitioners, many same-sex attracted](#), report that early affirmation prevented them from understanding their sexuality.

WHY IT MATTERS

- 39. Gender Nonconformity Is Not Dysphoria** — Many gay and lesbian children do not fit gender stereotypes. Treating their personality as pathology undermines both diversity and acceptance.
- 40. Affirmation Can Reinforce Stereotypes** — The idea that 'feminine boys are girls' or 'masculine girls are boys' revives the very gender stereotypes that equality movements worked to dismantle.
- 41. Moral and Legal Responsibility** — Schools that promote affirmation as safeguarding may unintentionally facilitate the medicalisation of same-sex attracted minors — a violation of equality and child-protection principles.

KEY TAKEAWAY

Gender-affirming policies can unintentionally harm same-sex attracted youth by misdiagnosing natural gender nonconformity as dysphoria and interrupting sexual identity development. Schools best protect these students by affirming personality diversity, rejecting stereotypes, and creating space for all children to naturally develop into their adult selves.

The Ideological vs Evidence-Based Divide

QUESTION: *How did gender policy in schools move from science-based safeguarding to ideology-driven affirmation — and how can educators return to evidence?*

THE FACTS

Over the past decade, 'gender affirmation' has shifted from a contested medical hypothesis to an institutional ideology adopted across education, health, and government sectors. This shift did not occur because of new scientific discoveries, but through advocacy-based policy capture.

Activist frameworks redefined safeguarding as affirmation, disagreement as discrimination, and caution as harm. The result is a system that discourages open inquiry — treating questions as threats rather than as part of responsible care.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Cass Review \(UK, 2024\)](#) — Identified a 'systemic failure of safeguarding' caused by ideological capture. Clinicians felt pressured to affirm rather than assess, fearing accusations of transphobia.
- [Re: Devin \(Australia, 2025\)](#) — Justice Strum described affirmation-only practice as 'dogmatic and unsupported by evidence.'
- [Education Policy Analysis](#) — Many school materials originated from lobby groups rather than government research units. Language entered curricula without medical or legal basis.
- ["U.S. medical associations played a key role in creating a perception that there is professional consensus in support of paediatric medical transition. This apparent consensus, however, is driven primarily by a small number of specialised committees, influenced by WPATH. It is not clear that the official views of these associations are shared by the wider medical community, or even by most of their members."](#)
- [International Health Authority Reviews \(Sweden, Finland, Norway, US HHS 2025\)](#) — All concluded that evidence quality is very low. Replaced activist guidance with frameworks emphasising psychotherapy, family engagement, and informed consent.

WHY IT MATTERS

- 42. Ideology Undermines Professional Integrity** — When teachers are told to follow belief statements rather than evidence, they lose their professional autonomy and expose the school to legal and reputational risk.
- 43. Censorship of Dissent Blocks Learning** — Staff who question gender policy face intimidation or complaints. This creates a chilling effect where even mild caution is portrayed as bigotry.
- 44. Returning to Evidence Is Not Opposition** — Re-evaluating policy is an act of safeguarding, not hostility. When the world's leading health authorities change course, educators must adapt.

KEY TAKEAWAY

The shift to ideology-driven affirmation has failed children by replacing clinical judgement with activist belief. A return to evidence-based practice — grounded in transparent research, ethical assessment, and parental partnership — is both possible and necessary.

Parallel Dignity in Practice

QUESTION: *How can schools apply the principle of Parallel Dignity to support all students compassionately, safely, and lawfully?*

PRACTICAL APPLICATION

Parallel Dignity invites schools to move from identity-based policies to needs-based support — protecting every child's wellbeing without erasing anyone else's boundaries.

Below are concrete ways schools can implement Parallel Dignity principles in everyday practice:

For Individual Students

- Meet with any student expressing gender distress in a calm, non-pressured environment.
- Acknowledge feelings without affirming or reinforcing a fixed identity.
- Refer to an independent psychologist or counsellor with experience in adolescent development.
- Involve parents as partners — do not create secrecy between school and home.
- Maintain consistent expectations for all students regarding names, uniforms, and pronouns.

For School Policy

- Review and update any policy that mandates social affirmation without parental consent.
- Replace advocacy-organisation training materials with evidence-based clinical guidance.
- Ensure bathroom and changing room policies protect single-sex spaces as well as providing for the privacy and dignity of all students.
- Create clear documentation protocols for any staff conversations about gender distress.
- Consult with legal counsel before implementing or changing gender-related procedures.

For Staff

- Provide training grounded in child development, not gender ideology.
- Empower teachers to say: 'I hear you. Let's make sure you get the right support.'
- Protect staff from retaliatory complaints for following evidence-based practice.
- Encourage open professional dialogue — questions are a sign of integrity, not hostility.

KEY TAKEAWAY

Parallel Dignity means schools can support every child with compassion and care — without making irreversible assumptions about who they will become. It is the framework that allows truth, kindness, and safety to coexist.

Legal and Professional Risk

QUESTION: *What legal and professional risks do schools face when applying or failing to apply gender-affirming policies?*

THE FACTS

Schools are increasingly exposed to legal liability from two directions: claims that they have caused harm by affirming a child's gender identity without proper safeguards, and claims that they have discriminated by not affirming. Understanding the current legal landscape is essential for every school leader.

Recent court decisions — particularly *Re: Devin* — have significantly clarified where responsibility lies and what standards of care are required.

EVIDENCE AT A GLANCE

- [Re: Devin \(Australia, 2025\)](#) — Established that social transition without parental consent or clinical evaluation may constitute an act with medical consequences. Schools can no longer claim 'following guidance' as a complete defence.
- [Common Law Negligence](#) — Schools must exercise the care of a reasonable parent. Acting outside professional expertise in matters with medical implications may breach this standard.
- [Human Rights Act \(NZ\)](#) and [Parental Rights](#) — Parents have legally protected interests in their children's identity and medical decisions. Withholding information constitutes a potential breach.
- [Re: Imogen \(Australia, 2020\)](#) — Confirmed that courts retain oversight of significant decisions about a child's identity and treatment.

WHY IT MATTERS

- 45. Document Everything** — Keep records of any conversations, decisions, or referrals related to gender distress. Documentation protects both students and staff.
- 46. Involve Parents Early** — Parental involvement is not only legally prudent — it is the single most protective factor for the child's long-term wellbeing.
- 47. Seek Independent Legal Advice** — Before implementing or significantly changing gender-related procedures, consult a lawyer familiar with family law and education law.
- 48. Update Policies Now** — Following outdated departmental guidance no longer provides legal protection. Schools must ensure internal procedures reflect current evidence and case law. Schools should not rely on policies provided by SchoolDocs.co.nz as they have misrepresented [the Human Rights Act](#) in the past.

KEY TAKEAWAY

Schools that follow outdated affirmation-only policies face growing legal risk — from parents, from students who later desist, and from staff whose professional integrity is compromised. The safest legal position is also the most ethical: evidence-based, parent-inclusive, and child-centred care.

Policy Recommendations and Future Directions

QUESTION: *What steps can schools, education departments, and policymakers take to align with evidence, safeguard all students, and restore trust?*

IMMEDIATE STEPS FOR SCHOOLS

1. Review and Update Gender Policies

- Audit current gender-related policies against the evidence base from the Cass Review and Re: Devin.
- Remove requirements for automatic affirmation and name changes without parental consent.
- Ensure policies align with current family law, common law negligence standards, and recent international evidence and court rulings.

2. Strengthen Parental Partnership

- Commit to informing parents promptly of any significant concerns about their child's wellbeing, including gender distress.
- Provide parents with balanced, evidence-based resources — not advocacy material.
- Create clear, accessible channels for parents to raise concerns without fear of dismissal.

3. Invest in Evidence-Based Staff Training

- Replace training from advocacy organisations with clinical, child-development-based professional development.
- Empower staff to respond to gender distress with calm compassion — without ideological prescription.
- Provide staff with clear, written guidance on what they may and may not do without parental consent.

4. Facilitate Open Dialogue with Parents and Communities

- Encourage respectful engagement, not suppression of dissent.
- Reinforce that trust and transparency are central to school safety.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

- **National Consistency** — New Zealand should align with international standards now rejecting affirmation-only models.
- **Evidence Infrastructure** — Establish national data collection on outcomes of social and medical transition in minors.
- **Ethical Clarity** — Embed Parallel Dignity into all child-safety frameworks, ensuring every policy protects both compassion and caution.
- **Professional Accountability** — Require that all guidance for educators be based on independent review, not advocacy materials.
- **Independent Legal Advice** — Schools must base policies on the Human Rights Act as written, not advocacy interpretations. Human Rights Commission guidance reflects interpretation rather than case law and is not legally binding. Schools should seek independent legal review of all gender-related policies.

Parallel Dignity: The Path Forward

Parallel Dignity allows schools to care for every child without collusion in harm, to include all without suppressing anyone, and to model courage that others can follow.

"When the evidence changed, we listened. When children needed us, we led with truth. We safeguarded every student's dignity — equally, in parallel."

The era of ideology is ending; the era of integrity must begin.

New Zealand schools can lead the world by adopting Parallel Dignity — a framework where evidence, ethics, and empathy work hand in hand to protect the next generation.

References and Further Resources



aww.org.au/schoolresources

Active Watchful Waiting Inc. | Child Protection & Consumer Advocacy

Version 3: April 2026

License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International

© Active Watchful Waiting Inc. | Version 3 | 2026